Council



Title of Report:	Changes to the constitution – appointment and dismissal of statutory officers				
Report No:	COU/SE/15/024 [to be completed by Democratic Services]				
Report to and date/s:	Counci			7 July 2015	
Portfolio holder:	Ian Houlder Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01284 810074 Email: ian.houlder@stedsbc.gov.uk				
Lead officer:	Joy Bowes Service Manager (Legal Services) Tel: 01284 757141 Email: joy.bowes@westsuffolk.gov.uk				
Purpose of report:	To approve changes to the constitution which are required by new regulations relating to the appointment of the Head of Paid Service (the Chief Executive) and the disciplinary process for the Head of Paid Service, Chief Finance (s151) Officer and Monitoring Officer.				
Recommendation:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that: (1) members note the contents of this report; and				
				anges to the Employment s set out in Appendix 1.	
Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - □ No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠				
48 hours and cannot a publication of the de Decisions Plan.	be action	ed until fi	ive cle	I usually be published within ar working days of the sincluded on the	
Consultation: Alternative option(s):	None	e, as th	is is a statutory requirement	

Implications:Are there any financial implications?Yes \square No \boxtimes If yes, please give details•Are there any staffing implications?Yes \square No \boxtimes			
If yes, please give details•Are there any staffing implications?Yes \square No \boxtimes			
Are there any staffing implications? Yes \square No \boxtimes			
	Yes □ No ⊠		
If yes, please give details •			
Are there any ICT implications? If Yes \square No \boxtimes	Yes □ No ⊠		
yes, please give details			
Are there any legal and/or policy Yes \square No \square	Yes □ No □		
implications? If yes, please give • These are as set out	 These are as set out in the report 		
details	•		
Are there any equality implications? Yes \square No \boxtimes	Yes □ No ⊠		
If yes, please give details	•		
Risk/opportunity assessment: (potential hazards or opportunity	(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)		
	ual risk (after		
risk (before control	•		
controls)			
Failure to amend Low Paper brought Low			
standing orders in forward for decision			
accordance with the at correct time			
Regulations			
	N/A		
	New Regulations, explanatory		
(all background papers are to be memorandum and letter	memorandum and letter		
published on the website and a link			
included)			
Documents attached: (Please list any appendices.)			
Appendix 1 – Officer Emp	Appendix 1 – Officer Employment		
Rules with proposed ame	Rules with proposed amendments.		

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

1.1 **Background**

- 1.1.1 The Local Authorities (Standing Orders) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2015 ("the Regulations") came into force on 11 May 2015. The Regulations require the Council, no later than its first ordinary meeting after its Annual Meeting, to amend its standing orders to give effect to the provisions they contain. The relevant section of the constitution is the Officer Employment Procedure Rules.
- 1.1.2 The letter from the DCLG announcing these changes includes the following:

"These Regulations, which apply to all principal councils in England, simplify and localise the disciplinary process for the most senior officers of a council i.e. the Head of Paid Service, the monitoring officer and the chief finance officer. They remove the requirement that a Designated Independent Person (DIP) be appointed to investigate and make a binding recommendation on disciplinary action against these senior staff.

The Regulations provide that, in place of the DIP process, the decision will be taken transparently by full council, who must consider any advice, views or recommendations from an independent panel, the conclusions of any investigation into the proposed dismissal, and any representations from the officer concerned.

In the case of a proposed disciplinary action against one of these most senior officers, the council is required to invite independent persons who have been appointed for the purposes of the members' conduct regime under section 28 (7) of the Localism Act 2011 to form an independent panel, and must include in that invitation any of its independent persons who are electors for that council's area."

1.2 **Proposals**

- 1.2.1 The proposals contained within this paper are intended to ensure that the Council meets the legal requirements of the new Regulations by building on the arrangements already in place. Chief Executives are employed under JNC conditions of service which will need to be reviewed to bring them in line with the new Regulations.
- 1.2.2 As is the procedure now, the appointment of a new Chief Executive will still require a recommendation from the Joint Officer Appointments Committee appointed for that purpose) to Council. The difference is that Council will now need to agree the offer before it is formally made, rather than simply being asked to confirm the appointment. This will require the timing of any appointment process to align to Council dates, or for an extraordinary Council to be called.
- 1.2.3 Only the Council can approve the dismissal for disciplinary reasons of the Head of Paid Service, Chief Finance Officer or Monitoring Officer following the recommendation of such action by the Joint Officer Appointments Committee which may be specifically appointed for that purpose. This Committee will be the standing committee which serves as the 'Panel' referred to in the legislation and will include at least one Member of the Cabinet.

- 1.2.4 Having considered all of the information provided, the Joint Officer Appointments Committee would make any recommendation to Council to dismiss as part of a disciplinary process any of the three postholders. For the avoidance of doubt, the legislation does not allow any decision to dismiss to be delegated from Council to the Joint Officer Appointments Committee.
- In future, when considering dismissal as detailed above, the Joint Officer
 1.2.5 Appointments Committee will need to include the participation of two
 Independent Persons appointed by the Council for the purposes of advising the
 Monitoring Officer in the consideration of complaints about the conduct of
 councillors. They will not be voting members. However, the opinion of the
 Independent Persons must be recorded in any report to Full Council.
- As there are only two Independent Persons appointed to serve West Suffolk at 1.2.6 present, if either or both is unable or unwilling to participate then the provisions of the Regulations will be followed and Independent Persons sought from another local authority.
- There is no reference to an appeal stage for dismissals of the statutory officers. As it would be a Council decision then a further independent panel from a suitable organisation would be the final course of action.